



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

JOSEPH McCARTHY

PART 15 OF 28

BUFILE NUMBER: 121-23278

SUBJECT Joseph McCarthy
FILE NUMBER 121-23278
SECTION NUMBER 5

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: May 9, 1950

FROM : D. M. Ladd

SUBJECT:

Tolson ☒
 Ladd ☒
 Clegg ☒
 Glavin ☒
 Nichols ☒
 Rosen ☒
 Tracy ☒
 Harbo ☒
 Mohr ☒
 Tele. Room ☒
 Nease ☒
 Gandy ☒

While talking with Peyton Ford on other matters, he advised Mr. Nichols and Mr. Ladd that he was going to assign [redacted] from his office to review all of the State Department files which the President was making available to the Tydings' Committee prior to the time that they were made available to the Committee for the purpose of blocking out the names of any confidential informants or sources which should be protected in Bureau reports. U

He requested the Bureau to make an Agent available to work with [redacted] on this project. U

We both advised him that we could not do this that this would be a very bad procedure; that the FBI should not be a part to reviewing the State Department's files prior to the time that they were made available to a Senate Committee by the President. He then suggested that the Bureau might go through its own files checking the material which has been sent to the State Department and checking to see if there are any names which the Bureau desired to be blocked out as undesirable for the Committee to see. U

We again pointed out that this would be impractical and unwise. U

It was suggested that in the event [redacted] is going to make this review that at the time he is reviewing the reports that if he has any questions about any particular reports, that he then contact the Bureau. U

DML:dad

cc - Mr. Nichols
Mr. Belmont

Right. I want no part
 of review of such files or
 the blocking out of in-
 formation. 121-23278-162
 162-39715-1

RECORDED - 93 MAY 17 1950
34

INDEXED - 92

EX-136

JUN 5 1950

70043

May 6, 1950

PERSONAL AND ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
BY SPECIAL ~~DELIVERER~~

Honorable Seth W. Richardson
Chairman
Loyalty Review Board
United States Civil Service Commission
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Sir:

This will acknowledge your letter of May 4, 1950,
regarding loyalty investigations and reports concerning persons
identified before the Tydings Subcommittee.

Since the questions raised in your communication
involve matters of policy which must be passed upon by the
Department of Justice, I am referring your letter to Mr. Peyton
Ford, The Assistant to the Attorney General, for consideration
and reply.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

DECLASSIFIED BY 2909
ON 4/12/78 D88/DC

RECORDED - 1111

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF
DATE 4-21-78 1972

MAY 8 1950
COMM - FBI

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Nichols _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

C38
MAY 5 1950

Handwritten signature

Large black redaction mark

RECEIVED
MAY 5 5 14 PM '50
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
125-23278-15

Mr. Peyton Ford
The Assistant to the Attorney General
Director, FBI

May 5, 1950

LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

DECLASSIFIED BY 2909

ON 4/12/78 Dpg/DLC

Attached are copies of a letter dated May 4, 1950, addressed to the Bureau by Mr. Seth W. Richardson, Chairman of the Loyalty Review Board, with further reference to cases mentioned before the Tydings Subcommittee now being reviewed by the Board.

You will note that Mr. Richardson lists twenty-one cases and requests the Bureau to advise him "whether or not any and all investigative reports and pertinent information secured under authority of any Statute, Executive Order or any other authority whatsoever, relating to each of the above listed cases and relevant to questions of loyalty, has been furnished to the Loyalty Review Board." The twenty-one cases listed are identical to those listed in a similar letter received by the Department from Mr. Richardson.

In discussing the letter received by the Department last evening with an official of the Bureau you requested that we review the individual cases listed and advise you whether the cases were espionage investigations, whether they were under active investigation at the present time and the nature of the investigation conducted in each case in order that you might discuss the matter further with Mr. Dawson and Mr. Richardson.

In nine of the cases listed in the attached letter from Mr. Richardson we have already furnished the Board with material and reports where available and not already in the possession of the Civil Service Commission because the nine individuals in question, specifically,

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] have been subjects of investigation or inquiry by the Bureau under the provisions of the Loyalty Order or Public Law 402, 80th Congress, since the effective date of Executive Order 9835. Copies of the letters directed to the Board concerning these nine individual cases have previously been furnished to you.

With respect to the remaining twelve individuals listed in Mr. Richardson's letter, which you will note include Mary Jane Keeney, Gustav Duran and [REDACTED] there is no indication that any of these twelve individuals are presently employed in the Executive Branch of the Government and none of them have been subjects of investigation or inquiry by the Bureau under the provisions of the Loyalty Order or such Public Laws as Public Law 402, 80th Congress (Voice of America Program), Public Law 472, 80th Congress (European Recovery Program) and the Atomic Energy Act, since the effective date of Executive Order 9835.

Tolson
Ladd
Clegg
Glavin
Nichols
Rosen
Tracy
Harbo
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Nease
Gandy

ENCL.

MAILED 2

MAY 8 1950

RECORDED

112-23278-160

MAY 13 1950

Pursuant to our understanding of your instructions to an official of the Bureau, as outlined in the Bureau's memorandum to you of April 26, 1950, which you confirmed as correct in a telephonic conversation with a Bureau official on April 27, 1950, we have not furnished any data or report to the Loyalty Review Board on these remaining twelve individuals.

Concerning these twelve cases, there is set forth below the information you requested as to which of the cases are espionage type investigations, whether they are under active investigation at the present time and the nature of the investigation, if any, conducted in each case:

[REDACTED]

Gustavo Duran

Mary J. Keeney

[REDACTED]

SUBJECTS OF SPECIAL INQUIRY TYPE OR HATCH ACT INVESTIGATIONS BY FBI PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 9835

[REDACTED]

Subject of Special Inquiry type investigation for Office of Coordinator of Information and reports furnished to OCI in 1942.

[REDACTED]

Subject of Special Inquiry type investigation for Office of Coordinator of Information and reports furnished to OCI in 1942.

[REDACTED]

Subject of investigation under Hatch Act as employee of Office of War Information and report furnished State Department in 1946.

NOT SUBJECTS OF INDEPENDENT FBI INVESTIGATION

[REDACTED]

Tolson _____

Ladd _____

Clegg _____

Glavin _____

Nichols _____

Roos _____

Tracy _____

Harbo _____

Mohr _____

Tele. Room _____

Nease _____

Gandy _____

b7c

3016

The letter addressed to the Bureau by Mr. Richardson under date of May 4, 1950, has been acknowledged, and he has been advised that this matter is being referred to you for consideration and reply inasmuch as the questions raised in his communication to the Bureau involve matters of policy to be decided upon by the Department. Appended for your information is a copy of the Bureau's acknowledgment to Mr. Richardson.

We will appreciate receiving your further advice in this matter at your earliest convenience.

9

Town _____
 Locality _____
 County _____
 State _____
 Zip _____
 Name _____
 Title _____
 Phone _____
 E-mail _____
 Fax _____
 Mailing Address _____
 City _____
 State _____
 Zip _____

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: May 8, 1950

Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ladd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Clegg	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Glavin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Nichols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tracy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Harbo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mohr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Nease	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gandy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

TO : DIRECTOR
FROM : D. M. Ladd
SUBJECT:

Peyton Ford called and stated that he had obtained an interpretation of the White House as to the President's statement to Senator Tydings with regard to making available the State Department's files; that the White House indicated that they only intend to make those files available indicated Appropriations Committee had seen in 1949; that they are not making any FBI files available.

Mr. Ford stated that he and Donald Dawson had been designated to hold Senator Tydings in line on that point.

M L: dad

cc - Mr. Belmont

RECORDED - 89

EX-35

MAY 9 1950

121-23278-161
166-1225-317

b7C

JUN 27 1950

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : D. M. Ladd *DLW*

DATE: May 9, 1950

FROM : E. H. Winterrowd *EW*SUBJECT: LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES -
STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES

Tolson ☒
 Ladd ☒
 Clegg ☒
 Glavin ☒
 Nichols ☒
 Rosen ☒
 Tracy ☒
 Harbo ☒
 Mohr ☒
 Tele. Room ☒
 Nease ☒

On May 9, 1950, when the writer talked to Mr. Peyton Ford concerning clarification of his penned notation on a memorandum to him, he advised that it was his understanding the following course of action was being taken with respect to making available State Department files to the Tydings Committee:

He said that with respect to ex-employees who were in McCarthy's list of 81, only the material which had been made available to the Appropriations Committee (either Senate or House) in 1947 would be made accessible to the Tydings Committee.

With respect to cases decided on loyalty grounds, either dismissal or retention, General Snow is to make available (apparently to read) a summary of the information and the action taken. If more specific details are requested by the Committee or if the veracity of the summary is questioned, then the State Department file will be made available.

With respect to employees against whom administrative action is taken, Mr. Ford said it was his understanding Mr. Donald Nicholson will make available a summary of information and action to the Committee. If anything is doubted or questioned, then the State Department file will be made available.

It might be noted that Mr. Ford indicated that he was scheduled to see Senator Tydings as of 2:00 or 2:30 P.M., May 9, 1950.

ACTION:

None. The above is submitted for information purposes.

RECORDED

JUN 13 1950

12
RECEIVED

58 JUL 5

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: May 9, 1950

FROM : D. M. Ladd *DL*

SUBJECT:

Tolson ☒
 Ladd ☒
 Clegg ☒
 Glavin ☒
 Nichols ☒
 Rosen ☐
 Tracy ☐
 Harbo ☐
 Mohr ☐
 Tele. Room ☐
 Nease ☐
 Gandy ☐

While talking with Peyton Ford on other matters, he exhibited to Mr. Nichols and Mr. Ladd a letter addressed to the Attorney General from Senator Tydings, which dealt with making available by the President to the Tydings' Committee the files of the State Department. U

Senator Tydings was requesting assurance from the Attorney General that all loyalty reports on those 81 cases named had been sent by the FBI to the State Department in order that the Committee might be assured that they were obtaining not only information from the State Department but all that which the FBI had furnished to the State Department. U

Mr. Ford wanted the Bureau to take this letter and to suggest how it might be answered. U

We pointed out to Mr. Ford that under the provisions set up in the Loyalty Program, the Bureau does not send reports direct to the employing agency but rather sends all copies to the Civil Service Commission, who in turn transmit the reports to the appropriate employing agency; that, therefore, all the Bureau could say was that the loyalty reports had been sent to the Civil Service Commission. The Bureau obviously could not certify that the Commission had sent them all to the State Department. U

In light of this, it was suggested that the Civil Service Commission would be the proper agency to answer Senator Tydings' letter. U

DML:dad

cc - Mr. Belmont
 Mr. Nichols

*Right. But make certain
 all reports in these cases
 have been sent to C & S C.*

RECORDED

1121-23278-4
 JUN 13 1950

57 JUL 6

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. D. M. Ladd

DATE: May 9, 1950

FROM : Mr. E. H. Winterrowd

SUBJECT: LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

Reference is made to the attached memorandum from the Director to Mr. Peyton Ford dated May 5, 1950, wherein Mr. Ford has made the notation: "Continue as marked -- I would, however, ___ to continue to furnish the other material as per our conversation until Dawson and see Seth R." U

Fursuant to your instructions, I first contacted Miss Thomas, the Secretary of Mr. Ford, to determine the meaning of the above-quoted notation. She, in turn, referred me to Mr. Ford and he stated that he could see how we were possibly confused and he stated further that he meant the (Bureau should continue to supply information to the Loyalty Review Board in the same manner as he previously had indicated,) until such time as he, Mr. Ford, talk to Mr. Dawson at the White House and with Mr. Seth Richardson. He said, in other words, the Bureau should furnish loyalty reports and information pertaining to the loyalty of present employees of the State Department, but that if a request for a security type report were received, such a report should not be given to Mr. Richardson at this time. If there are any changes as a result of his conference with Mr. Dawson and Mr. Richardson, he will advise the Bureau. U

Upon my departure from Mr. Ford's office, I specifically stated that I understood that the Bureau should continue to operate on the same basis with the Loyalty Review Board as was previously agreed to by Mr. Ford and that if a request were received for a security type report, that it would not be furnished to the Loyalty Review Board. He stated that this was correct. U

ACTION:

Inasmuch as it was necessary to obtain clarification as to Mr. Ford's penned notation set forth on the Bureau's memorandum of May 5, 1950, it is believed that this should be set forth in writing to Mr. Ford. In this regard it is suggested that this confirmation be handled by the attached memorandum of May 9, 1950, directed to Mr. Peyton Ford, wherein reference is made

ENCL.

Attachment 1950

RECORDED

JUN 13 1950

b7c

121-23278-165

to a request by Mr. Seth Richardson for a copy of an FBI report on [REDACTED] who, it will be noted, is no longer with the State Department, but who was included in the list of 81 cases referred to by Senator McCarthy. This request of Mr. Richardson is a request for a security type report. The request, consequently, falls within the category which at the present time is not to be complied with.

Accordingly, it is recommended that the attached memorandum serve two purposes: (1) To confirm the clarification of the above-referred-to notation and (2) to refer to Mr. Ford the request of Seth Richardson.

✓

[REDACTED]

b7c

Copy

3013

West Asheville, N. C.
May 12, 1950.

63989

Honorable Millard E. Tydings,
U. S. Senator from Maryland.
Washington D. C.

Dear Senator:

Would you, or any of your committee, be interested in knowing what the reaction of many of the American citizens are to the delinco which you are now conducting?

Have you given proper consideration to the meaning of the Red, White, and Blue arrangement in the flag of our country?

Do you realize that you gentlemen can and do sit over there in Washington, D. C. and legislate human lives into battle, for the protection and the perpetuation of our freedom and liberty?

Do you believe that it has a tendency to add to the patriotic and moral fiber of our citizenry to see this greatest of all deliberative bodies--the United States Senate--being now prostituted down into the muck and mire of stupidity, for the purpose of promoting the personal political standing of certain people, who are too steeped in their asinine proclivities, to comprehend the fact that their own actions at this particular time is far worse in its influence against Democracy, than the worst individual Communist which you may scare up?

Can't you see, or do you care, that our Secretary of State is right now sweating out his very life's blood trying to stop Communism where it is, and not allowing it to spread all over the world? How may he do this after Joe McCarthy, Wherry, Stassen, Dewey, Taft, and now of all people Herbert C. Hoover? not in such unstatesmen like manner as to reflect discredit, and dishonor upon our State Department? Can they be PATRIOTIC? Are these men placing their COUNTRY ahead of their own personal ambition? The men who died in the last two wars, and those who are presently maimed, helplessly crippled, and blind forever, had no choice but to sacrifice all this for their country--only to have their noble effort thrown to the dogs!

Do you think that this give me any pleasure to have to write to you in this vain? My heart is filled with sadness at the thoughtlessness of men who should be great, and noble! Oh! that my life could only be sacrificed, in order to erase away all the stain which Senator Joe McCarthy has smeared upon the great escutcheon of liberty and freedom!

Your committee are prolonging this agony, and most foolish crucifixion of our public servants, to the point where there is now a cry going up for the limitation and the restriction of congressional liberty of action! Can't you see that you are destroying your own usefulness, and therein striking the worst blow at our freedom that has been handed out during our lifetime?

These people are aiding and abetting Communism in our country, when they know of any person or act which will be detrimental to our well being and safety, and they commit treason when they do not report such actions to the Department of Justice for proper investigation and prosecution! In addition to being most incompetent to bring about any desirable results, your committee's actions smelt to the high heavens!

Very truly yours,

RECORDED

INDEXED

62-23278-165

62-91123

b2

Mr. Peyton Ford
The Assistant to the Attorney General

May 15, 1950

Director, FBI

63988

EXECUTIVE BRANCH
U. S. GOVERNMENT
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

DECLASSIFIED BY

ON

gm
Senate Foreign Relations Committee

Reference is made to your memorandum of May 10, 1950, attaching a copy of a list of individuals identified from Senator McCarthy's speech before the Senate on February 20, 1950, whose names were also included on the "subpoena" list received from the Senate Subcommittee (S. Res. 231).

In accordance with the instructions set forth in your memorandum, there is attached a list of the individuals, as they appear on the "subpoena" list which you furnished to us, setting forth the material you requested with respect to each individual identified. In those instances where the individual involved has been the subject of investigation by the Bureau under the Loyalty Order, Public Laws 402 and 472, 80th Congress (United States of America and European Recovery Programs) or the Atomic Energy Act, photostatic copies of Bureau transmittal letters showing the reports transmitted or the action taken are furnished as exhibits.

You will note that the attachment is divided into Parts I, II and III. Part I pertains to the individuals identified on the "subpoena" list. In Part II there is furnished for your information material relating to additional individuals not identified on the "subpoena" list but who were identified on a list furnished to the Bureau by the Honorable Seth W. Richardson, Chairman, Loyalty Review Board, in a letter dated April 5, 1950. The list furnished by Mr. Richardson under date of April 5, 1950, apparently is being used by the Loyalty Review Board as the basis for its review of the 81 cases in question. In Part III of the attachment there are furnished the exhibits consisting of Bureau transmittal letters described in Parts I and II.

ENCLOSURE BEHIND FILE

Attachment

Tolson	
Ladd	
Clegg	
Glavin	
Nichols	
Rosen	
Tracy	
Harbo	
Mohr	
Tele. Room	
Nease	
Gandy	

52 JUN 28 1950

51 MAY 22 1950

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF DECLASS
DATE 4-27-78 BY

121-23278-167

62-7723

MAY 17 1950

RECEIVED READING ROOM
FBI
MAY 16 1950

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: May 15, 1950

FROM : L. B. NICHOLS

SUBJECT:

SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Egan
Mr. Gurnea
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Quinn Tamm
Tele. Room
Mr. Nease
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

Henry Cabot Lodge had prepared a letter to the Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations charging that the Tydings' investigation to date had been farcical; that no good purpose could be served and therefore he was withdrawing; that Senator Hickenlooper would endorse this view. U

██████████ stated that he did not know whether Lodge would send the letter but he was giving serious thought to it. U

██████████ commented that he had talked to several Republican leaders recently and they were fearful that they will win both the House and the Senate this fall which they do not want as they would prefer for a Democratic majority to continue and they would be content merely to pick up 3 or 4 seats in the Senate and a few seats in the House.

LBN:LH

b7c

RECORDED - 85

MAY 18 1950

INDEXED - 85

MAY 25 1950

121-23278-168

62-41423-40

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. BELMONT *evy*

DATE: May 16, 1950

FROM : V. P. KEAY *VPK*

SUBJECT: CHARGES AGAINST THE STATE DEPARTMENT BY SENATOR MC CARTHY

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Nichols _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Harbo _____
 Mohr _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Nease _____
 Gandy _____

[REDACTED] advised me confidentially that he had reviewed the files at the State Department which are to be made available to the Senate Committee strictly from the standpoint of seeing that there was nothing in the files which would be harmful to the Department of Justice and which did not belong in the files. He stated that he wanted to pass on the following information with reference to Bureau information he observed therein.

[REDACTED] stated in the Loyalty file on [REDACTED] he noted a copy of a long letter from the Bureau to Seth Richardson, Chairman of the Loyalty Review Board, dated April 21, 1950. One paragraph of this letter furnished for Richardson's confidential information the identity of one of our confidential informants. [REDACTED] stated that he suggested to the State Department that they block out this paragraph as it had no pertinency and might be harmful. Also he noted in the [REDACTED] case a memorandum from [REDACTED] to Mr. Nicholson of the State Department dated October 21, 1948, saying something to the effect that the FBI did not make as complete an investigation as was possible on [REDACTED] husband. [REDACTED] stated there was no indication as to why the investigation on [REDACTED] husband was pertinent in this file. It is noted that the investigation was conducted on [REDACTED] and the only references made to her husband were incidental or were related to her activities.

[REDACTED] also advised that in the file involving [REDACTED] he noted pencil notations on the Bureau report which was written by [REDACTED] at Washington, D. C., in April, 1944. These pencil notations identified the various informants in the report. According to [REDACTED] there was no information as to how the informants had been identified by the State Department. [REDACTED] stated he noted there were two original copies of this report in file and one photostat. The photostat did not have any notations on it. [REDACTED] stated he suggested to the State Department that they remove the two white copies of the report which contain notations and leave only the photostatic copy in the file.

RECORDED - 37

INDEXED - 37

121-23278-168X
62-39749-15812
JUN 6 1950b7C
b7D

Memorandum to Mr. Belmont 5-23-50
10/10/50

May 17, 1950

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON
MR. LADD

On May 12 Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, Jr. called to see me stating he desired to discuss with me informally and confidentially the matters which had developed in connection with the review of the State Department files in the 81 cases being considered by the Tydings Committee.

He stated that he and other members of the Committee were now reviewing these files at the White House and after several days of such review he did not know whether he was stupid or ignorant but he was terribly confused about the contents of the files in that the files contained no recommendations and in cases where Loyalty hearings had not been held by the State Department there was no indication as to why they had not been held. He stated furthermore that in some instances there was no indication that various leads had been followed out which appeared in the files and he was wondering whether I could give him my reaction upon the same.

I told the Senator that of course I had not seen the files and was not therefore in a position to speak authoritatively about them. I stated that in so far as the FBI reports were concerned obviously we reached no conclusion because that was not our function, but that we did endeavor to follow out every lead which we developed and also endeavored to indicate the reliability of the source from which we obtained the information. The Senator stated he appreciated completely the position of the Bureau in the matter but he had been confused about the files as a whole.

Very truly yours,

JEH
John Edgar Hoover
Director

SENT FROM D. O.
TIME *4:00*
DATE *5-17-50*
BY *hew*

JEH:EH

121-23278-169
62-59719-1559
MAY 18 1950

56 MAY 24 1950

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

DATE: May 17, 1950

FROM : D. M. Ladd *DL*SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE BRANCH, U. S. GOVERNMENT
(NAMES IDENTIFIED BY SENATOR MCCARTHY)
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ladd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Clegg	<input type="checkbox"/>
Glavin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Nichols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rosen	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tracy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Harbo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mohr	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nease	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

PURPOSE: To respond to your inquiry as to whether we are sound in not having mentioned, in our memorandum to Peyton Ford of May 15, 1950, incidental references concerning an individual named by Senator McCarthy which appeared in collateral reports furnished to the State Department on other people and in not mentioning confidential memoranda furnished to the State Department in connection with the Gregory case and investigation concerning underground Communist activities of government employees.

BACKGROUND: My memorandum of May 15, 1950, attached for approval a memorandum to Mr. Peyton Ford furnishing to the Department, in accordance with his request, information regarding material sent to the Civil Service Commission and/or the State Department regarding the 81 individuals identified by Senator McCarthy. This material was furnished in connection with a request made by Senator Tydings that the Attorney General furnish him with a letter regarding the material transmitted by the Bureau concerning these 81 cases.

On page two of my memorandum of May 15, 1950, it was pointed out that in connection with the review of our files, in addition to reports and memoranda specifically relating to individuals identified on the Tydings "subpoena" list, it was found that collateral references to these individuals were located in other reports and communications transmitted to the Department of State in some instances; that as a general rule these incidental references had not been included in the memorandum to the Department; that in the past confidential memoranda have been supplied to the State Department in connection with the "Gregory" case, as well as investigation concerning underground Communist activities of government employees, and that in these memoranda numerous individuals were involved and information from highly confidential sources was included; that in such memoranda, where one of the persons named by McCarthy is mentioned collaterally, we had not listed the Gregory summary or the summary on the underground Communist activities of government employees since it was felt to do so would undoubtedly cause the Subcommittee to request copies of the confidential memoranda.

With respect to this particular paragraph in my memorandum of May 15, 1950, you noted, "Are we absolutely sound in marked paragraph on P. 2. I want nothing which is material withheld."

ENCLOSURE
It is felt that we are entirely sound in not having mentioned incidental references appearing in reports or memoranda given to the State Department concerning an entirely different person or subject. An example of the type of incidental references referred to would be a case where we have conducted a loyalty or Voice of

58 JUL 1 0 1950

b7c

RECORDED - 74
INDEXED - 74
100-23278-170
JUL 13 1950
EX-312

America investigation on an employee of the State Department who perhaps associated with one of the 81 individuals named on the "subpoena" list. Naturally, the report furnished to the State Department would mention this association and thereafter set forth briefly information concerning the associate, who happens to be one of the 81 individuals. The substance of any incidental references of this nature appearing in collateral reports on other individuals would, of course, be included in the loyalty or Voice of America investigative reports pertaining to the individual who appears on the "subpoena" list. Consequently, it was felt that listing collateral references of this nature would be a duplication and not serve any particular purpose.

With regard to summary memoranda furnished to the State Department in connection with the Gregory case and investigation concerning underground Communist activities of government employees, the general substance of the information appearing in such summary memoranda would be reflected in the loyalty or Voice of America investigative reports furnished to the Civil Service Commission where the individual in question has been the subject of an investigation of this type. With this in mind, it was again felt that it would be duplication to list such summary memoranda and, further, that it would be extremely dangerous to list them since, if we did so, the Tydings Subcommittee would undoubtedly demand that they be produced and they, of course, contain information concerning numerous individuals not mentioned on the Tydings "subpoena" list and also contain information from highly confidential sources.

As you know, [REDACTED] was one of the subjects in the Gregory case. [REDACTED] resigned from the State Department on December 13, 1946, prior to the time the Loyalty Order was promulgated. He, of course, has not been the subject of a loyalty investigation or investigation under the Voice of America Program, the European Recovery Program, or the Atomic Energy Act. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is one of the individuals named on the "subpoena" list and with respect to him we pointed out in our memorandum of May 15, 1950, to the Department that he had not been investigated under Executive Order 9835; that he had resigned from the State Department on December 13, 1946; that Civil Service Commission records fail to reflect any employment of [REDACTED] in the Executive Branch subsequent to his termination with the State Department. It was pointed out that [REDACTED] was investigated by the Bureau in 1944 and 1945 under the provisions of the Hatch Act and we specifically mentioned each report in the Hatch Act investigation which has been furnished to the Department of State. We also specifically referred to memoranda dated February 19, 1946, and July 15, 1946, addressed to Frederick B. Lyon of the State Department under the caption [REDACTED]. Our files also reflect that letters were addressed to the Secretary of State on February 25, 1946, and November 25, 1946, enclosing summaries of the Gregory subjects, including [REDACTED]. We did not mention these letters of February 25, 1946, and November 25, 1946, since we felt that it was dangerous to do so. If they had been mentioned, the Tydings Subcommittee would in all probability demand that they be produced and naturally, in addition to referring to [REDACTED], the summaries referred to other subjects of the Gregory case who were not included among the individuals presently being considered by the Tydings Subcommittee. Furthermore, they contain information obtained by the Bureau from highly confidential sources. 4

ACTION: None. This is for your information.

This looks ok

5718

67C

FROM

DO-7

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

TO
OFFICIAL INDICATED BELOW BY CHECK MARK

Mr. Tolson ☒ (✓)
Mr. Clegg ☐ ()
Mr. Glavin ☐ ()
Mr. Ladd ☒ (✓)
Mr. Nichols ☒ (✓)
Mr. Rosen ☐ ()
Mr. Tracy ☐ ()
Mr. Gurnea ☐ ()
Mr. Harbo ☐ ()
Mr. Belmont ☐ ()
Mr. Mohr ☐ ()
Mr. Nease ☐ ()
Miss Gandy ☐ ()

See Me ☐ ()
Note and Return ☐ ()
For Your Recommendation ☐ ()
What are the facts? ☐ ()
Remarks:

Are we absolutely
sound in marked
paragraph on
P. 2. I want
nothing which
is confidential
withheld.

H.

memo to Director

5-17-50

b7C

ENCLOSURE

RECORDED - 71/21-23278-170
JUN 13 1950

12

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

DATE: May 15, 1950

FROM : Mr. D. M. Ladd *DL*SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE BRANCH, U. S. GOVERNMENT
(Names identified by Senator McCarthy)
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ladd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Clegg	<input type="checkbox"/>
Glavin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Nichols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tracy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Harbo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mohr	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nease	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

PURPOSE

To recommend that the attached memorandum concerning the individuals identified by Senator McCarthy before the Tydings Subcommittee be forwarded to Mr. Peyton Ford pursuant to his request to be advised regarding the dissemination of information in the Bureau's files and the results of investigations regarding these persons to the Department of State.

BACKGROUND

You will recall that in my memorandum of May 9, 1950, which is attached, I pointed out that Clive Palmer had called at my office on the evening of May 9, 1950, and left a memorandum listing the names of the 81 individuals named in the subpoena of the Senate Subcommittee. Mr. Palmer advised that Peyton Ford had been in conference all afternoon with Senator Tydings; that Senator Tydings insists that he wants a letter signed by the Attorney General listing the dates of reports on loyalty cases sent to the Civil Service Commission, as well as information on the individuals who were the subjects of preliminary inquiry loyalty investigations, but which were discontinued upon receipt of information that the employees had left the government service. Palmer further indicated Tydings also desired any cases where no investigation was conducted because no derogatory information was available be listed. According to Palmer, Senator Tydings suggested that a cutoff date of February 20, 1950, be set and that nothing be listed thereafter. Palmer was advised by me that the Bureau would not prepare any such list until we received a memorandum from the Department in writing listing exactly what it was that the Department wanted. It was also suggested that the Bureau not use a cutoff date, but include everything up to the present time. You noted on my memorandum, "I concur."

The attached memorandum dated May 10, 1950, from Peyton Ford requests the following specific information concerning the names included on the "subpoena" list received from the Senate Subcommittee: The Attorney General wishes to prepare a letter to Senator Tydings listing first those individuals in the group on whom loyalty investigations were conducted, attaching copies of the Bureau's transmittal letters or memoranda to the Civil Service Commission; further, in cases where a loyalty investigation was never instituted because of the absence of derogatory information in the Bureau's files or because it was learned prior to the institution of the investigation that the individual had left the service, or where investigations were instituted but later discontinued because the individuals left the service, information is desired regarding what transpired in this respect. Peyton

Attachment

58 JUL 1 0 1950

b7c

RECORDED
EX-111
JUN 12 1950
23278-770
ENCLOSURE

Ford further pointed out that there may have been, with regard to some of these individuals, memoranda forwarded to the State Department prior to, during, or following the loyalty investigation, or in cases in which no loyalty investigation has been made. He stated it is desired that the Bureau make appropriate identifying reference to any such memoranda. Peyton Ford, as indicated above, stated it is not necessary to include any material submitted subsequent to February 20, 1950.

All references in the Bureau's files have been reviewed concerning the names set forth on the "subpoena" list furnished by Clive Palmer, together with other individuals who have been identified by Senator McCarthy, and the information requested by the Department has been set forth in the attached memorandum dated May 15, 1950, to Mr. Peyton Ford.

You will observe that the material being furnished to the Department is divided into Parts I, II, and III. Part I pertains to the individuals identified on the "subpoena" list. In Part II, there is furnished for the Department's information material relating to additional individuals not identified on the "subpoena" list, but who were identified previously by Seth W. Richardson in a letter to the Bureau dated April 5, 1950. Part III consists of photostatic copies of transmittal letters requested by the Department.

In connection with the review of our files, in addition to reports and memoranda specifically relating to the individuals identified on the subpoena list, it was found that collateral references to these individuals were located in other reports and communications transmitted to the Department of State in some instances. As a general rule, these incidental references have not been included in the memorandum to the Department. In this same connection, you will recall that in the past confidential memoranda have been supplied to the Department of State in connection with the Gregory case, as well as investigation concerning underground Communist activities of government employees. In these memoranda in many instances, numerous individuals were involved and information from highly confidential sources was included. In such memoranda, where one of the persons named by McCarthy is mentioned collaterally, we have not listed the Gregory summary or the summary on the underground Communist activities of government employees, since it is felt that to do so would undoubtedly cause the Subcommittee to request copies of the confidential memoranda which at the time were not specifically given to the State Department regarding the individuals concerned with this request.

The review of the references on the individuals in question also revealed in various instances oral information had been furnished to the Department of State. This oral dissemination of information has been noted in the memorandum to Peyton Ford inasmuch as it may have been made a matter of record in the files of the State Department. For us to delete such information might create an erroneous impression that the Bureau is withholding material.

ACTION

That the attached memorandum be forwarded to Mr. Peyton Ford.

✓ - 2 -
3

BFB

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO : Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
 Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM : Peyton Ford

SUBJECT: The Assistant to the Attorney General

DATE: May 10, 1950

Mr. Ladd ☒
 Mr. Clegg ☒
 Mr. Glavin ☒
 Mr. Rosen ☒
 Mr. Tracy ☒
 Mr. Harbo ☒
 Mr. Belmont ☒
 Mr. Mohr ☒
 Tele. Room ☒
 Mr. Nease ☒

There is attached hereto copy of a list of 81 individuals identified from Senator McCarthy's speech before the Senate on February 20, 1950, whose names were also included on the "subpoena" list received from the Senate Committee (S. Res. 231).

The Attorney General wishes to prepare at the earliest possible moment a letter to Senator Tydings listing, first, those individuals in the group on whom loyalty investigations were conducted, and attaching copies of the Bureau's transmittal letters or memoranda to the Civil Service Commission. It is understood that these transmittal letters identify the investigative reports making up the report of full field loyalty investigations.

In this group there will undoubtedly be cases in which a loyalty investigation was never instituted because of the absence of derogatory information in the Bureau's files or because it was learned prior to the institution of the investigation that the individual had left the service. Also, there may be cases in which investigations were instituted but later discontinued because the individuals left the service during the course of the investigation. It is desired to list these individuals with an indication of what transpired in this respect.

There may have been, with respect to some of these individuals, memoranda forwarded to the State Department prior to, during, or following the loyalty investigation, or in cases in which no loyalty investigation has been made. It is desired to make appropriate identifying reference to any such memoranda.

It is not necessary in this connection to include any material submitted subsequent to February 20, 1950, which date may be accepted as the cut-off date in the search for materials referred to.

Approved by *W. L. R. [Signature]*
 Special Assistant to the
 Attorney General

ENCLOSURE

74

memo to Mr. Ford

7-15-50

Deleted copy sent
 by letter 11-3-55
 Per FOIA Request mcl

b7c

5-1

RECORDED-74
INDEXED-74

ENCLOSURE

1121-2322-10
JUN 13 1950

CONFIDENTIAL

by [redacted] only

List of 81 individuals identified from Senator McCarthy's speech of February 20, 1950 whose names were also included on the "Subpoena" list received from the Senate Subcommittee (S. Res. 231)

In his speech before the Senate on February 20, 1950, Senator McCarthy claimed 81 cases but gave identifiable information on only 71 individuals. Three numbers (15, 27, and 50) he did not discuss, one case he discussed under two numbers (77 and 6) and six cases he grouped under a general description but gave no information that identified the individuals.

In Senator McCarthy's "Subpoena" list he omitted two of the individuals he identified on the Senate floor (numbers 28 and 72). Two individuals named on the subpoena as [redacted] (No. 76) and [redacted] (No. 50) are obvious errors and are intended to refer to [redacted] and [redacted] who were identified in the Senate speech. The numbers which were added to the Subpoena list but were not identified on the Senate floor have not been included.

Recapitulation

"Subpoena" list		81
Senate speech (February 20)		81
Less:		
numbers not discussed	3	
case numbered twice	1	
cases without identification	6	
	10	
Identifiable individuals		71
Individuals identified on floor but omitted on Subpoena		2
	12	
		69
		81

1. [redacted]
2. [redacted]
3. [redacted]
4. [redacted]
5. [redacted]
6. [redacted]
7. [redacted]
8. [redacted]

b7C

- b7c**

45.

46.

47. ~~ELINHAUER, Esther~~ ~~Caukin~~

48.

49.

50.

51.

52.

53.

54.

55.

56.

57.

58.

59.

60.

61.

62.

63.

64.

65.

66.

67.

68.

69.

70.

71.

72. No name given on subpoena but identifiable individual discussed on Senate floor

b7C

73. [REDACTED]

74. [REDACTED]

75. [REDACTED]

76. [REDACTED] Incorrectly Listed as [REDACTED]

77. [REDACTED] (See No. 9)

78. [REDACTED]

79. [REDACTED]

80. [REDACTED] (Incorrectly Listed as [REDACTED])

81. [REDACTED]

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: May 9, 1950

FROM : D. M. Ladd *DL*

SUBJECT: INVESTIGATION BY SENATOR TYDINGS' COMMITTEE
OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT LOYALTY CASES

Tolson ☒
Ladd ☒
Clegg ☒
Glavin ☒
Nichols ☒
Rosen ☒
Tracy ☒
Harbo ☒
Mohr ☒
Tele. Room ☒
Nease ☒
Gandy ☒

Clive Palmer called at my office at 5:40 PM tonight and left the attached memorandum listing the names of the eighty-one individuals named in the subpoena by Senator McCarthy.

Mr. Palmer stated that Peyton Ford had been in conference all afternoon with Senator Tydings; that Senator Tydings insists that he wants a letter signed by the Attorney General listing the dates of reports on loyalty cases sent to the Civil Service Commission. Apparently they are fearful that the State Department's files have been stripped. Therefore, Mr. Palmer stated that the Attorney General and the White House had instructed that the Bureau prepare a memorandum to the Attorney General sending over a copy of the letter of transmittal in each instance where loyalty reports were transmitted to the Civil Service Commission inasmuch as this cover letter will show the itemization of the reports.

Another paragraph should indicate that the individuals listed thereunder were the subject of a preliminary loyalty investigation which was discontinued when it was learned that the employee had left the Government service and, therefore, no reports were sent to the Civil Service Commission.

A third paragraph should list any cases where no investigation was conducted because no derogatory information was available.

He also advised that Senator Tydings suggested that a cut-off date of February 20, 1950, be set and that nothing be listed thereafter.

I told Mr. Palmer that the Bureau would not prepare any such list until we got a memorandum from the Department in writing listing exactly what it was that the Department wanted. He promised to have this prepared and sent to the Bureau tomorrow, May 10, 1950.

ENCLOSURE *74* In the meantime, I would suggest that we start working on this and would suggest that a photostatic copy of the letter of transmittal to the Civil Service Commission be prepared, which will only show the name of the Agent preparing the report, the date of the report and the office where made.

Also, I would suggest that the Bureau not use a cut-off date but include everything up to the present time. The Department can use whatever cut-off date they want after they get the Bureau's memorandum.

DML:dad

JUL 1 1950

RECORDED

EX-3

JUN 13 1950

121-23278-176

Johnson H.

5-

63987

May 15, 1950

RECORDED 87
ED-87
[REDACTED]
New York 19, New York

Dear Mr. Silber:

121-33278-170X
Your communication postmarked May 8, 1950, has been received and I wish to express my appreciation for the enclosure thereto.

Should any further information in which this Bureau might be interested come to your attention it is suggested that you may wish to correspond directly with our New York Office, 607 U. S. Court House, Foley Square, New York 7, New York.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

cc New York

ATTENTION SAC: For your information correspondent enclosed a mimeographed pamphlet entitled, "Senator McCarthy's Tempest in a Teapot," by Leon D'Argent.

Harbo
Belmont
Mohr
Tele. Room
Nease
Gandy

MAILED
MAY 15 1950
COMM - FBI

5 JUN 1 1950

RECEIVED READING ROOM
F B I
MAY 15 1950
RECEIVED

676

62


NEW YORK 19, N.Y.



Mr. Director J. Edgar Hoover

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington D.C.

DC



RECORDED

121-23278-170X

INDEX

116
117

SENATOR MCCARTHY'S TESTEST IN A TEAPOT

By Leon D'Argent.

FIP

For years, the Republican party aims to discredit the Truman Administration and uses every possible means to drag it into dirt and filth.

Now, this time a young politician, Senator McCarthy of Wisconsin, is using the opportunity of creating a big to-do by claiming incompetence of the State Department. The senator insists this Government agency is infiltrated with Communists, fellow-travellers and people sympathizing with the Russians and their cause, spying in this country for the Reds. He seems unaware of the services he is rendering the opponents of our country with these claims. If we are to follow his conclusions, the late President Franklin D. Roosevelt must also have been a Communist, co-operating with the Russians. By his actions he was successful in exterminating the Hitler clique and in winning the war. In politics it is often of greater advantage to keep silence than to speak up.

MORAL: KICK ADO ABOUT NOTHING.

McCarthy obviously was interested in getting in the limelight and in showing his efficiency. He consequently acted according to the principle: The end justifies the means. The public should be interested in learning the sources of McCarthy's information. How much did he pay for it and what promises did he make in return? Maybe his informants are recruited from the ranks of former Russian agents, conspirators against our country, like Whitaker Chambers, Mrs. Gerhard Eisler, etc. People like them enjoy their freedom, are at liberty now, unpunished for their actions. They might act tomorrow again, provided the pay is alright. It's a known fact that most criminals revert to their past crimes. Were honest politicians to serve our country honestly, with no business-like dealings behind the scenes, the world would be free of Al Capones, Joe Fischettis (alias Fischer), etc. and there would be less murders committed.

121-23278-170X
ENCLOSURE

13-50

It's also doubtful whether a McCarthy, unprotected by Congressional immunity would dare to stand up against decent public servants and to slander publicly. Politics is often a dirty business.

MORAL: A MAN IS KNOWN BY THE COMPANY HE KEEPS.

There is no use in criticising. To improve things, that's what counts. If there are dishonest characters, ready to betray our country, our beloved country, then the most severe punishment should be meted out to them. If an Alger Hiss is really guilty he should be punished according to law, with a long prison term, followed by deportation to the country of his heels, which seemingly has more to offer him than the U.S.

There is also the case of Miss Coplon, worse than the others. She seems to be a child of Russian parentage, whose ancestors driven from Russia not so long ago, found refuge in the United States, liberty and freedom. MORAL: ONE IS TAUGHT WISDOM BY ADVERSITY.

One thing is certain: We are at war again. This time at war with an enemy more dangerous than Hitler. Hitler stated all his future plans and the conception of his world openly in "Mein Kampf". Stalin, however, is acting behind the mask of an honest man, having nothing else in mind but peace. The war now going on and forced upon us only wears a different label: "Cold War". We are not suffering any casualties right now but it might happen any day. Should we lose this war, then everything will be lost for us and we will have ceased to exist as a free nation. This condition now going on for years, is abnormal, extra-ordinary. War conditions require special conditions and measures to be taken. Consider the fact that we are a democratic country, with laws and regulations. Consider the "Lend-Lease" law which helped our allies to fight Hitler until we were ready to step in with actions of our own. If there is a war on, war laws should govern us and, as long as this war lasts, we are to defend our-

selves. No obvious damage has been done yet but who knows what we may expect.

Our sole and biggest enemy for the time being is Communism. The Communist party should be abolished "for the duration" of the present war or at least for such a period until we return to normal conditions. Membership in its party and public speeches and articles favoring its aims should be forbidden; punishment handed out for those who break the law. We all should strive to hinder the existence of a "Fifth column". We should aim to build up a propaganda department which would prevent decent and loyal citizens from being misguided by lies and false promises. For then Russia would never be the promised land. If Russia were the paradise, praised by its agents in this country, we would be permitted to glimpse behind the curtain and to see for ourselves what is going on there. If Russia is paradise then why keep its people forcibly behind its borders, why prevent them from leaving the paradise even for a short while? MORAL: FORESIGHT TO THE INTERIOR OF ALL WARS.

Finally, the writer has a suggestion to make: In order to remind all American in what golden country we are living, let us sing our National Anthem before the curtain rises in a theatre, before a concert, before every radio music hour and before every television show. I personally would give away all of Europe and Asia for staying in this country. There is nothing better than America. GOD BLESS AMERICA.



b7C

NY. 22 NY

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. A. H. Belmont *AB*

FROM : C. H. Stanley *CHS*

SUBJECT: CHARGES AGAINST THE STATE DEPARTMENT BY SENATOR MCCARTHY

DATE: May 23, 1950

Tolson ☒

Ladd ☒

Clegg ☒

Glavin ☒

Nichols ☒

Rosen ☒

Tracy ☒

Harbo ☒

Mohr ☒

Tele. Room ☒

Nease ☒

Gandy ☒

Reference is made to the memorandum from Mr. Keay to you dated May 16, 1950 concerning [redacted] observation of the State Department files which are to be made available to the Tydings Committee. It is noted [redacted] mentioned the files on [redacted] and [redacted]

RE: [redacted]

[redacted] indicated he noted pencilled notations identifying informants in a Bureau report written by [redacted] at Washington, D. C. in April 1944. He further advised the file contained two original copies of the report, one photostat and that the photostat did not have any notations on it.

In this connection Bufiles disclose a Loyalty investigation was instituted concerning [redacted] on 5-28-48, however the investigation was discontinued and CSC so advised by letter dated 6-11-48 when it was ascertained [redacted] resigned from the State Department on 4-16-48. No LGE reports were disseminated.

In regard to the Hatch Act investigation concerning [redacted] it is noted the report of SA [redacted] dated 4-22-44 at Washington, D. C., was sent to the Interdepartmental Committee on Employee Investigations on 5-11-44. In addition the file shows a copy of the report was also sent to the State Department on 8-25-47 for information purposes. It is noted the original copy of the report by SA [redacted] does not contain any pencilled notations identifying the informants and the file does not reflect the Department of State was ever advised of the identity of the informants.

RE: [redacted]

[redacted] referred to a copy of a letter from the Bureau to Mr. Seth W. Richardson, dated April 21, 1950, in which one paragraph set forth the identity of a confidential informant [redacted]

With respect to this observation the letter to Mr. Richardson pointed out that during a Hatch Act investigation concerning [redacted] prominent citizen and personal acquaintance of [redacted] advised another government agency that the employee "became so wrapped up in Communism" that the informant had to sever their acquaintanceship. The informant also provided information of a disloyal nature regarding employee's husband. The Hatch Act investigation further revealed the informant was reinterviewed by the government agency at which time she modified her previous statements and advised she did not mean "wrapped up in Communism." The informant was ascertained to be [redacted]

RECORDED - 37

INDEXED - 37

53 JUN 27 1950

EX-81

J. 33

6

b7c
D

During the LGE investigation the modified statement by [REDACTED] received from the above-mentioned government agency was reported and her name was not concealed. It is also noted [REDACTED] did not have any additional information to offer and her identity was not concealed when she was reinterviewed during the LGE investigation. Because Senator McCarthy stated in his case No. 55, later identified as [REDACTED] that a former employer described her as "wrapped up" in Communism and did not refer to the reinterview with her former employer in which the allegation was modified, it was considered advisable to point out to Mr. Richardson that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] also mentioned that he observed a memorandum in the State Department file on [REDACTED] dated 10-21-48 indicating the FBI did not make as complete an investigation as was possible on [REDACTED] husband. [REDACTED] added there was no indication as to why the investigation on [REDACTED] husband was pertinent in the file.

A review of the LGE investigation reflects the Philadelphia Office submitted a 3 page report devoted principally to the background of the employee's husband. Other reports in the investigation also set forth pertinent information concerning her husband when it applied to the employee. The report from the WFO dated 5-15-48 stated the [REDACTED] were voluntarily separated because of physical disabilities received by [REDACTED] during World War II. A review of the file indicates an appropriate investigation was conducted and the Department of State has not requested any supplemental investigations. [REDACTED]

b7c
4

ACTION

The above is for your information.

P.B.

1950

TO:

Director

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Q. Tamm
Mr. Mohr
Miss Gandy
Mr. Nease

Mr. Pennington
Mr. Winterrowd
Mr. J. A. Carlson
Mr. Hargett

Mrs. Henley
Miss Jess

Mrs. Davidson

Chief Clerk's Off.
Records Section
Personnel Files
Mechanical Sec.
Ident. Division
Technical Lab.
Reading Room

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Tele. Room
Mr. Nease
Miss Gandy

Mr. Belmont
Mr. Laughlin
Mr. Hennrich
M
M
Mr. Baumgardner
Mr. Keay
Mr. Stanley

Mr. Ferris
Foreign Service Desk
Mr. Callan

See Me
Call Me
Appropriate action
Note & return
Send file
Bring up-to-date
Correct
Re-date
Please initial & return
Place on record & return
Place on record
Per conversation
Advise status

D. M. Ladd - Rm. 5736
Telephone Ext. 555

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: May 24, 1950

FROM : MR. LADD

SUBJECT: SUBCOMMITTEE OF SENATE FOREIGN
RELATIONS COMMITTEE;
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
BUFILE 62-91923

Tolson ☒
Ladd ☒
Clegg ☐
Glavin ☒
Nichols ☒
Rosen ☐
Tracy ☐
Harbo ☐
Mohr ☐
Tele. Room ☐
Nease ☐

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 2/23/83 BY SP4 DMM/...

PURPOSE

To answer your inquiry concerning the advisability of formally requesting of Senator Millard Tydings the transcript of testimony presented before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. u

BACKGROUND

On May 12, 1950, Mr. Ed Morgan, counsel for the Tydings Committee inquired as to whether we had a copy of the transcript of hearings before the committee. When informed that such a transcript had not been made available to us, Mr. Morgan stated that Senator Tydings wanted to be sure that the FBI was being furnished all information in this matter and that he would be glad to make a copy of the transcript available to us. He said that there were only a limited number of copies of the transcript, but that he would make a copy available to us as soon as possible. Informed of Mr. Morgan's offer by memorandum from Mr. A. H. Belmont to Mr. D. M. Ladd, dated May 12, 1950, captioned [REDACTED] you instructed that "As soon as copy is obtained we should go over it carefully for leads and information." u

On May 22, 1950 Mr. Ed Morgan called on another matter, at which time Mr. Belmont took the opportunity to inquire as to whether the transcript was as yet available. Mr. Morgan advised that there was not then an extra copy of the transcript but he would get one as soon as he could. Informed of this call by Mr. Belmont's memorandum to Mr. Ladd dated May 22, 1950, captioned, [REDACTED] with aliases," you suggested, "Shouldn't we formally request the transcript of Tydings?" u

Open sessions of the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee have been attended unobtrusively by Agents of the Washington Field Office, who have immediately submitted summaries of pertinent development. Of necessity, these summaries have been incomplete and have not included testimony presented at executive sessions of the committee.

62-91923

cc: [REDACTED] (Lattimore)
cc: [REDACTED] (Jaffe)

RECORDED - 53

INDEXED - 53

JUN 7 1950

34

JUN 14 1950

b7c

ENG

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

Recognizing that the best record is the transcript itself, on April 24, 1950 a memorandum was sent to Assistant Attorney General James M. McInerney, pointing out that the Department might wish to arrange to receive copies of the official transcript of all testimony before the Subcommittee concerning Owen Lattimore. By memorandum dated May 12, 1950, it was again suggested to Mr. McInerney that the Criminal Division might wish to arrange to secure such transcripts and inquiry was made of Mr. McInerney as to whether such material was available.

At 5:15 PM on May 23, 1950, [REDACTED] telephonically advised that the Department now has a single copy of the transcript and that although he is required to follow the matter closely and would like to keep the transcript, he would gladly make it available to the Bureau for photostating.

RECOMMENDATION

Inasmuch as the transcript of testimony presented before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee is now available through the Criminal Division, it is suggested that it be obtained and photostated. Should it prove incomplete or otherwise inadequate for our purposes, it is recommended that a formal request then be made of Senator Tydings for the official transcript.

yes.

b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Room 5744

6-1, 1

TO:

- ✓ Director
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Harbo
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Belmont
- Mr. Mohr
- Mr. Carlson
- Mr. Callahan
- Mr. Nease
- Miss Gandy
- Personnel Files Section
- Records Section
- Mrs. Skillman

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Harbo
- Mr. Belmont
- Mr. Mohr
- Tele. Room
- Mr. Nease
- Miss Gandy

See Me

For Appropriate Action

Send File

Note and Return

Clyde Tolson

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

May 31, 1950

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ -
BY SPECIAL MESSENGER

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington 25, D. C.

L

Sir:

Reference is made to my letter of April 5, 1950 in which I requested certain information be submitted to this office regarding persons appearing on the list mentioned therein and to your letter of April 10, 1950 in reply thereto.

The Loyalty Review Board has decided not to review these cases further at this time, in view of the fact that they are presently the subject of study by the Tydings Subcommittee. Accordingly, please regard my request in letter of April 5, 1950 that information be forwarded directly to this office as withdrawn.

It will be appreciated if any additional information concerning the cases mentioned by Senator McCarthy before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee be forwarded as usual directly to the Investigations Division of the Civil Service Commission.

Very truly yours,

Seth W. Richardson
Seth W. Richardson
Chairman
Loyalty Review Board

b7c

58
56 JUL 5 1950

RECORDED - 1000

121-23278-173
JUN 13 1950

12

ADDRESS ONLY
"CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION"
IN YOUR REPLY REFER TO
FILE LRB:GLC:lp

AND DATE OF THIS LETTER

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Nease	_____

June 1, 1950

Honorable Willard E. Wydinge
United States Senate
Washington, D. C.

My dear Senators:

I wish to express my appreciation
for the very prompt manner in which you made
available the transcript of the testimony
through May 26.

I think it is vital for the Bureau
to receive a copy of this testimony to insure
that any information requiring investigative
action may have prompt attention.

With expressions of my highest
esteem and best regards,

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

JUN 1 4 11 PM '50
RECEIVED DIRECTOR
F B I
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

JUN 1 3 54 PM '50
RECEIVED RECORDS ROOM
F B I
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

LBN:LE

RECORDED - 114

INDEXED - 114

121-23278-173X

11 7557 1665

5

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Tele. Room
Mr. Nease
Miss Gandy

JUN 1 3 57 PM '50
RECEIVED
F B I
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

MAILED 10
JUN 1 1950
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

121-23278-173X
JUN 1 1950
H. H. Hoover

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. D. M. LADD

DATE: June 1, 1950

FROM : MR. A. H. BELMONT

SUBJECT: FBI SURVEY OF THE SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION
OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT, APRIL, 1947

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Nichols _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

You will recall that in April, 1947 at the request of Mr. Fred B. Lyon, State Department, the Bureau conducted a survey of the then Security and Investigative Division of the State Department in which a complete study was made of certain procedures in the Investigative Division, together with recommendations for certain changes. u

In connection with this survey, Mr. Donald L. Nicholson, Chief, Security Division, State Department, informed [redacted] today that Senators Lodge and Green of the Tydings Subcommittee have received knowledge of this survey and have inquired of the State Department of the action they have taken on the recommendations made by the FBI. Mr. Nicholson informed [redacted] that a number of the recommendations were placed into effect while some were not. He stated that the Senators want to see the report, together with the State Department's explanation of what they have done by way of conforming with the recommendations. Mr. Nicholson's question is whether the Bureau has any objection to the copy now in the possession of the State Department being shown to the Senators. He advised that the State Department would like to do this if the Bureau had no objections. u

RECOMMENDATION:

It would seem that there would be no objection to the State Department disclosing the recommendation made by the Bureau when compiling the answers that they must give to the Senators, however, there appears to be no valid reason why the State Department should turn over our survey report to Senators Lodge and Green for their perusal. If you concur, [redacted] will so inform Mr. Nicholson of the State Department. u

RECORDED - 36

INDEXED - 36

121-23278-174

I think it is

up to the

State Dept

6/2/50

I agree. Certainly we have no objection

h.

bx

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : A. H. Belmont

DATE: June 2, 1950

FROM : C. E. Hennrich

SUBJECT: SUBCOMMITTEE OF SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE;
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Nichols _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Harbo _____
 Mohr _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Nease _____
 Gandy _____

PURPOSE:

To advise that the transcript of testimony presented before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee which is now available through the Criminal Division is incomplete and inadequate for our purposes and that a formal request for the complete transcript is being made of Senator Tydings.

BACKGROUND:

A memorandum from Mr. D. M. Ladd to the Director dated May 24, 1950, in which it was suggested that inasmuch as the transcript of the testimony presented before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee was available through the Criminal Division, it be obtained and photostated. It was also recommended that should such prove incomplete or otherwise inadequate for our purposes, a formal request then be made of Senator Tydings for the official transcript. To these suggestions the Director indicated his agreement.

At 5:00 P.M. on May 25, 1950, Mr. William E. Foley of the Criminal Division was personally contacted by Special Agent [redacted]. Mr. Foley advised that as yet the Department has been unable to obtain the complete transcript but did have printed copies of the transcripts of April 20, 1950 and April 25, 1950, which concerned the testimony given by Louis Budenz in both public and executive session. It was noted that the testimony for these two days alone consisted of three bound volumes indicating that the complete transcript will be extremely large.

The transcripts of testimony presented on April 20, 1950 and April 25, 1950, were obtained from Mr. Foley for the purpose of being photostated; however, upon being reviewed they were found to be inadequate for our purposes and were returned to him at 10:00 A.M. on May 26, 1950. By memorandum dated May 23, 1950, Assistant Attorney General James M. McInerney advised that the Department had been informed that the proceedings before the Committee are not being printed for approximately one month, at which time the Department would receive a copy of available transcripts.

RECORDED - 53
 INDEXED - 53

JUN 7 1950

51 JUN 8 1950

Inasmuch as the transcripts obtainable through the Criminal Division are incomplete, Mr. D. M. Ladd has personally requested of Senator Tydings a copy of the official transcript of testimony presented before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

ACTION:

None, the above is for your information. Upon receipt of the transcript, a photostatic copy will be made for transmittal to the Baltimore Office for their information.

ADDENDUM:

On May 29, 1950 twenty volumes of the transcript of testimony before the Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee were received and are currently being reviewed.

June 6, 1950

6:05

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON
MR. LADD
MR. NICHOLS

Mr. Peyton Ford called again regarding the chart prepared by the State Department and stated he had just had a call from Mr. Fisher, the General Counsel at the State Department, who advised that they wanted to say that they have been advised that the Department of Justice did not evaluate this material; that it was evaluated by the State Department. I stated as far as I knew that was absolutely correct but I did not see any necessity for them using our name at all; that obviously they made the chart and in making it they of course must have evaluated the material. I commented that the only danger in making a statement and in mentioning the FBI in it is that we have not yet seen the material from which they made the chart, and though we never reach a conclusion in our reports, we are not infallible and before a statement is issued quoting us we would have to see the reports from which the chart was prepared. Mr. Ford thought it would be a good idea to tell Mr. Fisher that the State Department did the evaluating and that the Justice Department didn't and I agreed. I stated if there was any question of the FBI having evaluated any report then certainly they should bring this to the attention of our liaison section, but I did not feel it was up to us to check into the material that went into the chart as we did not know what went into it. I commented to Mr. Ford that I felt it was up to the State Department to do this checking as they had prepared the chart. I further added that as far as I knew this chart has never been distributed and I did not know why they had prepared it. Mr. Ford commented that he thought Mr. Panuch prepared it.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

G.I.R.

SENT FROM D. O.
TIME 3:00
DATE 6-7-50
BY [Signature]

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

cc - Mr. Nease

JEH:EM

53 JUN 12 1950

RECORDED - 74

INDEXED - 74

JUN 8 1950

12

June 6, 1950

5:16 PM

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON
MR. LADD
MR. NICHOLS

Mr. Peyton Ford called and stated he had been talking to the Attorney General regarding the allegation that there were eleven Communists in the State Department and commented that eight were now out of the Department and three remained. Mr. Ford stated he had been requested to find out who these three were. I told Mr. Ford I did not know who they were and I assumed we would have to make inquiry of the State Department. Ford then said he understood that McCarthy said he was not going to give this information to the Committee and it was not exactly clear what he was going to do with it; that he, McCarthy, had commented if anyone should have it he thought the FBI should have it. I then told Ford that of course I could ask Senator McCarthy but I doubted the wisdom of this. I also asked Ford if McCarthy was still referring to the chart and Ford stated he apparently was. U

I thereupon informed Ford that this chart was prepared in the State Department; that they have a chart exactly like McCarthy described and that it was prepared from material received from all branches of the Government including the FBI reports. I stated, however, that though I had not seen this chart it was actually prepared by the State Department which would indicate that McCarthy was getting his information out of that Department because no one else has such a chart in his possession. I also told him that our material, which is included in the chart, is not identified as such and that they cannot tell us what is in the chart that came from the Bureau. Mr. Ford then advised me that McCarthy is stating that for the first time in history the FBI is evaluating evidence and I stated I did not know what he based that on. I further stated that the State Department is prepared to issue a press release that they have received no such document as described by McCarthy from the FBI. I commented that of course we said we could neither approve or disapprove any release; that it was up to them what they say. However, I said as to these three names I could give McCarthy a call and ask him or I could try to secure this information from the State Department. Mr. Ford thought we should try to find out from the State Department and I told him I would check with them. U

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover

Director

RECORDED - 38
INDEXED - 38

JUN 8 1950

12

SENT FROM D. O.

TIME 3:05

DATE 6-7-50

BY [Signature]

cc-Mr. Nease

JEH:EH

222
JUN 9 1950

June 6, 1950

4:26 PM

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON
MR. LADD
MR. NICHOLS

Mr. Peyton Ford called to inquire if I knew anything about a document to which Senator Joe McCarthy was referring on The Hill. I stated I had heard about this but did not know what McCarthy was talking about and I had issued instructions to see if we could get a line on it in our files but so far we have found nothing. Mr. Ford stated he would like to know if we heard anything about it and I told him I would keep him advised.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

cc-Mr. Nease

JEH:EH

SENT FROM	D. O.
TIME	1:25
DATE	6-7-50
BY	<i>Law</i>

RECORDED - 1150

121-23278-178

JUN 13 1950

38
53 JUL 5 1950

*Communication to the
Director by Mr. Nease*

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
BY SPECIAL AGENT

June 6, 1950.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

DIRECTOR, FBI

CHARGES OF SENATOR JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY CONCERNING
FBI CHART ON COMMUNISTS ALLEGEDLY GIVEN TO STATE DEPARTMENT

deleted
per
Dir
Senator McCarthy, on the Senate floor today, stated in substance that three or four years ago, May 15, 1946 or 1947, the FBI ~~performed a function~~ ~~totally outside of its jurisdiction~~ when it supplied to the State Department, ~~the evaluation~~, charts and diagrams purportedly showing Soviet agents, Communists and fellow travelers in the State Department. This chart, according to Senator McCarthy, contained 124 names broken down as follows:

20 Communist Agents
13 Other Communists
14 Communist Sympathizers
71 Suspects

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY SLIP(S) OF
DATE 4-29-78 *Declass.*

After receiving this information, the State Department called the Bureau and stated that they proposed issuing a press release stating, in effect, that they had no knowledge of a chart or diagram having been received from the FBI, as mentioned by Senator McCarthy. They further advised that a check of the Security Office of the State Department reflected that such a chart in the detail mentioned by Senator McCarthy, in fact, had been prepared by the State Department from information received from various sources, some of which data undoubtedly came from the FBI.

In discussing the press release with the Bureau, Mr. Samuel D. Boykin, an assistant to Deputy Undersecretary John R. Purifoy, desired to know if the Bureau approved or disapproved the release. Mr. Boykin was told that the Bureau neither could approve nor disapprove it, and that this was a matter that the State Department must handle in their discretion.

With reference to Senator McCarthy's statement that only three of the one hundred and twenty-four persons mentioned on the chart are presently employed in the State Department, Mr. Donald L. Nicholson, Security Division, State Department, advised that they have been able to identify only one person whose name appears on the chart as still being an employee of the State Department, namely, [redacted] who is employed in the Communications Section. Mr. Nicholson stated that another individual who may be one of the three referred to by Senator McCarthy is [redacted] who although no longer employed in the State Department, was one of those named by Senator McCarthy to the Tydings Committee. Mr. Nicholson advised the Bureau that this is only a possibility. The third individual mentioned cannot be identified by the State Department from their records at this time.

The above information is submitted pursuant to your request.

cc - Mr. Peyton Ford
Deputy Attorney General

(The above was obtained by [redacted] from Mr. Samuel D. Boykin and Mr. Donald L. Nicholson, of the State Department, 6/6/50)

DECLASSIFIED BY 2909

ON 4-12-78 DPB/DLC

MAILED 3
JUN 7 1950

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: June 6, 1950

FROM : D. M. LADD

SUBJECT:

CHARGES OF SENATOR JOSEPH R. McCARTHY CONCERNING
FBI CHART ON COMMUNISTS ALLEGEDLY GIVEN TO
STATE DEPARTMENT

Tolson ☒
Ladd ☒
Clegg ☒
Glavin ☒
Nichols ☒
Rosen ☒
Tracy ☒
Harbo ☒
Belmont ☒
Mohr ☒
Tele. Room ☒
Ingram ☒

In connection with the speech of Senator McCarthy today, alleging that the FBI performed a function outside of its jurisdiction when it supplied to the State Department, with evaluation, charts and diagrams purportedly showing Soviet agents, Communists, Communist sympathizers and suspects employed in the State Department, a check of Bureau records fails to reflect that such a chart was transmitted to the State Department by the Bureau in May 1946 or 1947. Inquiries by [redacted] at the State Department developed that the State Department itself had made up a chart from various sources listing individuals under the exact breakdown given by Senator McCarthy. Mr. Nicholson, of the State Department, advised [redacted] that the information from which this chart was compiled by the State Department came from several sources, including the FBI. However, at this time he was unable to advise as to which information came from the FBI.

The attached memorandum to the Attorney General has been prepared, reflecting the information available on this matter.

A check is being made to determine whether the Bureau investigated [redacted] or [redacted] and if so, whether copies of reports were given to the State Department.

ADDENDUM:

June 7, 1950

Our files reflect that [redacted] of the State Department, was investigated under the Hatch Act in 1942 and under the Loyalty Program in 1949. Copies of reports under the Hatch Act were sent to the State Department, and under the Loyalty Program, to the Civil Service Commission for transmittal to the State Department. On January 19, 1949, a disposition was received from the Loyalty Review Board that [redacted] was retained.

AHB:tlc
Attachment

We investigated one [redacted]
This investigation was conducted in 1943 under the Hatch Act. It is not known whether this is the individual referred to by Mr. Nicholson as [redacted]

[redacted] is at the State Department this morning, checking this further, and also attempting to get concrete information to

121-23278-178X1

12-39749-1591

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

to determine on what information the State Department made up its chart and just what information emanated from the FBI. [REDACTED] is endeavoring to ascertain whether the State Department may have used information from the Gregory Summary (forwarded to the State Department in March, 1946) and other information of this nature in drawing up its chart.

*It is very important
to determine this.*

H.

*memo to W
6/7/50*

BR

~~SECRET~~ - BY SPECIAL MESSENGER

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 9, 1950

RECORDED - 26

DIRECTOR, FBI

121-23278-178X1

INDEXED - 26

CHARGES OF SENATOR JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY
CONCERNING FBI CHART ON COMMUNISTS
ALLEGEDLY GIVEN TO STATE DEPARTMENT

DECLASSIFIED BY 2909
ON 4/12/78 D18/DLC

Reference is made to my memorandum of June 6, 1950, captioned as above, wherein you were informed that Senator McCarthy on the Senate floor, June 6, 1950, stated in substance that three or four years ago the FBI supplied to the State Department charts and diagrams purportedly showing pro-Soviet individuals in the State Department.

It has been determined that the material used by Senator McCarthy on June 6, 1950, originated from the State Department in a report prepared by Mr. Samuel Klaus on August 3, 1946, for Mr. Joseph Panuch, a former Deputy to the Assistant Secretary for Administration. The material used concerning the chart the FBI allegedly prepared appears on page twenty-nine, wherein it is stated, "FBI has prepared a chart now in the possession of Mr. Pannerman which purports to show a number of 'agents,' 'Communists,' 'sympathizers' and 'suspects' in the State Department as of May 15, 1947. The tabulation shows, agents - 20, Communists - 13, sympathizers - 14, and suspects - 77."

The report on page thirty sets forth the verbatim text of material which appeared in the press on June 7, 1950, that is, "It turned out that the FBI had produced no convincing proof that any person was an actual agent. In other words, the FBI had made no case to show espionage or a violation of the Foreign Agents Registration Act or similar legislation in any case. The word 'agent' was, therefore, being used by the FBI or by CON- to mean simply a suspect in espionage investigation, etc." It was further stated that "No proof of actual Communist Party membership has been produced by the FBI. The word Communist, therefore, was used merely to describe cases of such close affiliations as to lend credence to hypothesis that the person in question was a member --, a fact still to be proven."

The statement by Senator McCarthy that apparently the FBI did not trust the State Department is based on comments in the report of Mr. Klaus, wherein it is stated that the FBI did not freely give information to the Chief Special Agents Office. However, the Bureau furnished pertinent information to another official who had been designated as liaison representative with the FBI. Mr. Samuel S. Boykin, an Assistant to Deputy Undersecretary John E. McGriff, advised that he talked to Mr. Klaus and inquired why he had made the definite statement that the FBI had prepared a chart when, in fact, the chart in question was prepared by the State Department. According to Mr. Boykin, Klaus indicated that Mr. Pannerman, formerly head of the Security Division, had informed him, Klaus, that the chart was prepared by

cc - Mr. Peyton Ford
Deputy Attorney General

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF DeLasso
DATE 4-20-78

MAILED 3
JUN 9 - 1950

0-2-6-6-10-1-1-2-0
JUN 14 20

Tolson
Ladd
Clegg
Glavin
Nichols
Rosen
Tracy
Harbo
Mohr
Tele. Room
Nease
Gandy

JUN 15 1950

the FBI. Boykin added that Mr. Bannerman denied the statement attributed to him by Mr. Klaus and indicated Mr. Klaus made a misstatement of facts when he prepared his report. The chart which was prepared by the State Department carries a caption that it was prepared in the Reproduction Branch of the State Department and bears the title, "Top Secret, U.S. Department of State, Preliminary Survey of the Communist Infiltration, Prepared May 15, 1946." On the chart the employees were divided into various groups and categories. Senator McCarthy charged that three persons mentioned, whose names appeared on the chart, were still employed by the State Department. The State Department has been able to identify only two; namely, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. As it was indicated in the memorandum of June 6, 1950, the name of [REDACTED], who is no longer employed by the State Department, may or may not be the third person in view of the fact that he was on a list that Senator McCarthy supplied to Senator Tydings.

b7c

RECEIVED
FBI
DIRECTOR



FROM

DO-7

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

TO

OFFICIAL INDICATED BELOW BY CHECK MARK

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Gurnea _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Nease _____
Miss Gandy _____

See Me _____ ()
Note and Return _____ ()
For Your Recommendation ()
What are the facts? ()
Remarks: _____

b7c

REMARKS MADE ON SENATE FLOOR BY SENATOR JOE McCARTHY - June 6, 1950

98577

As the Senate will recall nearly four months ago, I gave it a brief resume of what was in the files on some 81 individuals. At that time I carefully explained to the Senate that there would be no way for me to reproduce for it on any of those individuals all of the material contained in the files. I explained, as the Senate knows, that those files have been worked up over a period of years by thousands of trained investigators at a cost of millions of dollars to the American people.

At the time I made that talk one of the Senators suggested that I should not give the Senate this information unless and until I could individually produce all of the proof in the files. With this I disagreed. I felt that knowing the extremely dangerous nature of the material in the files, I had a duty to bring this information to the attention of the Senate.

No man could at this time duplicate and bring together all of the vast amount of information painstakingly gathered by the F.B.I., the C.I.A., Army Intelligence, Navy Intelligence, etc. Therefore, I was faced with the choice of giving the Senate the information in the files, knowing that it would be difficult beyond words to prove the charges unless the President would cooperate with the Congress and make available to it all of the necessary files.

Since that time, the Administration, with the benefit of its entire paid press staff, has concentrated on trying to convince the American people that unless I, without the benefit of the files, can prove the charges which were based almost solely upon those files that then there are no disloyal people in government.

I have some information today of a nature which I think should be of considerable interest to the Senate. It is based upon State Department files. It is information which has never been brought to the Senate or to the American people.

We find that about 3 or 4 years ago, I say 3 or 4 because the file refers to the date of May 15, 1947 -- however, my information indicates that this may be a typographical error and should be May 15, 1946 -- however, for the time being we will refer to it as 1947 --

know they have never performed since. Apparently becoming disoriented

by the situation in the State Department, the Bureau sent over to the State Department on that date a detailed chart listing what they considered as Soviet agents, communists, communist sympathizers, and suspects. As far as I know this is the only time that the F.B.I. has attempted to evaluate the material gathered by it; otherwise, it has been as you know merely a fact finding body, submitting the proof to the Attorney General or to whoever else required it.

The submission of the list of Soviet agents, communists, etc., to the State Department by the F.B.I. met with such little favorable activity on the part of the State Department that as far as I know the Bureau has never submitted a like chart since that date.

I have photostated page 29 and part of page 30 of the report, which shed some light on this chart. It shows for example on May 15, 1947, there were in the State Department a total of 124 agents, communists, sympathizers, and suspects, in the opinion of the F.B.I. During the two months period between the time that chart was submitted by the F. B. I. and the time this report was made, only 18 of these individuals were gotten rid of, leaving in the State Department 106 whom the F. B. I. had named.

You will note that at the time this report was made there were on the payroll of the State Department, according to the F. B. I.'s evaluation of the information in its own files, 11 agents, 10 communists, 11 sympathizers, and 74 suspects, making a total of 106. Normally it could be assumed that the least that the State Department would have done when they were notified by the F. B. I. on May 15, 1947, that the State Department had on its payroll 20 communist agents, that the least it would have done would be to suspend all of those men, pending a complete and thorough investigation. Certainly there was no reason why the 106 named by the F. B. I. should be found on the State Department's payroll two months later.

With that lack of interest on the part of the State Department it is understandable why the F. B. I. became disgusted with the Department. Incidentally, this report also shows that the F. B. I. did not furnish all of its information in regard to suspected individuals to the Department, apparently not trusting the State Department to that extent, which is certainly understandable when they found the Department failed to

It should be mentioned that the report points out that the F. B. I. did not produce for the benefit of the State Department legal cases of espionage covering those listed as agents. It also points out that no proof of actual communist party membership was produced by the Bureau on those listed as communists. It was a case of the Bureau evaluating the information which it had obtained and deciding they were communists, agents, etc.

At least 3 of those listed as communist agents by the F. B. I. three years ago are still holding high positions in the State Department. For any one who says McCarthy must give the proof that those men are communist agents, let me tell you now that will be impossible for me individually to do. I am willing to rely upon the evaluation made of those individuals by the F. B. I. When the Bureau sends a chart to the State Department saying these men are agents of the communist party, I am satisfied that they unquestionably either are agents or so close to the category of agents, that under no circumstances should they be retained in the Department.

The Senate will note that the number listed by the F. B. I. as agents, communists, suspects, etc., and retained by the State Department was 106. The Senate will also recall that I first gave the committee 81 names and later on an additional 25, making a total of 106. I want to make it clear, however, that the fact the State Department retained 106 named by the F. B. I. and the fact that I gave the committee a list of 106 is merely a coincidence. The 106 names on the F. B. I. list are not identical to the 106 names which I gave to the committee. The 3 mentioned whom the F. B. I. labeled as communist agents and who are still in the State Department are, of course, on the Bureau's list and on my list.

Let me make it clear when I point out that 3 of the individuals listed as agents by the F. B. I. are still working in the State Department - that this means a minimum of 3. It will be impossible for me to give you the total number at this time. You will note that I am not discussing the number listed by the F. B. I. as communists, sympathizers and suspects who are still working in the Department. I hope to be able to give the

Senate a complete picture of how many of the total of 106 agents, Communist sympathizers, etc., are still on the State Department's payroll and in what, if any, branch of the government those who were permitted to resign are still working.

The names of those 3 whom the Bureau said were agents on May 15, 1947 and are still in the Department, are available to the Senate or to the committee. Those names are included among the 106 names that I gave to the committee.

If the committee desires more specific information as to those 3 agents it may have it. However, I think it would be reasonable to insist that the committee subpoena and obtain the F.B.I. chart mentioned in this report and then investigate not merely the 3 that I have been able to run down, but the entire 106 the Department insisted on retaining and also find out where the 18 who were allowed to resign are now employed.

And keep in mind, those men listed as Communist agents were listed as such by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The proof was not dug up by McCarthy. The proof was dug up by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

And in my book when the Federal Bureau of Investigation says these men are Communist agents — when the F.B.I. sends over a formal report to the State Department saying we have the evidence; those men are Soviet agents of Communist Russia, that to me is proof. In my book that is proof, despite all the screaming and squealing of those who say, "McCarthy you should not expose them because you are injuring those poor people; you are smearing them."